

Transaction Update: DZ HYP AG (Public Sector Covered Bond Program)

Öffentliche Pfandbriefe

Primary Credit Analyst:

Natalie Swiderek, Madrid (34) 91-788-7223; natalie.swiderek@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

David Benkemoun, Frankfurt + 49 69 3399 9162; david.benkemoun@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Major Rating Factors

Outlook: Stable

Rationale

Program Description


Related Criteria

Related Research

Transaction Update: DZ HYP AG (Public Sector Covered Bond Program)

Öffentliche Pfandbriefe

Ratings Detail



*The maximum jurisdictional support uplift is three notches above the RRL. However, only one notch is required to achieve a 'AAA' rating on the covered bonds. N/A--Not applicable.

Major Rating Factors

Strengths

- Strong credit quality of the public sector assets in the cover pool.
- Availability of a rating cushion of five unused notches comprising two notches of jurisdictional support and three notches of collateral-based support.
- Coverage of 180 days of liquidity needs at all times, as required by the German covered bond legal framework.

Weaknesses

- Commingling and bank account risk prior to the issuer's insolvency that is not addressed structurally or by the German legal framework, but is mitigated by the available collateralization.
- Voluntary overcollateralization above the legal minimum level, which the issuer may reduce at its discretion to a level that does not support the ratings on the covered bonds.

Outlook: Stable

S&P Global Ratings' stable outlook on DZ HYP AG's (DZ HYP) public sector covered bonds ("Öffentliche Pfandbriefe") reflects the cushion of five unused notches--comprising two notches of jurisdictional support and three notches of collateral-based support--that would protect the ratings on the covered bonds if we were to lower the long-term issuer credit rating (ICR) on DZ HYP.

We would lower our ratings on the covered bonds if the available credit enhancement became insufficient to cover credit risk as defined in our covered bonds criteria, either because of a reduction by the issuer of the available credit enhancement, or because of deteriorations of the cover pool's credit risk profile.

Rationale

We are publishing this transaction update as part of our periodic review of DZ HYP's public sector covered bond program.

Our rating process follows the methodology and assumptions outlined in our covered bonds criteria (see "Covered Bonds Criteria," published on Dec. 9, 2014) and the criteria articles referenced in the "Related Criteria" section.

In our analysis, we assume the issuer's insolvency and look to the resolution regime, the jurisdictional support, and the cover assets to repay the covered bonds. The ratings reflect the likelihood of the covered bonds being repaid in a timely manner at their legal final maturity.

From our analysis of the legal and regulatory framework for covered bonds in Germany, we have concluded that the assets in DZ HYP's cover pool are isolated from the risk of the issuer's bankruptcy or insolvency. This asset isolation allows us to potentially assign a higher rating to the covered bonds than our long-term ICR on DZ HYP.

DZ HYP is domiciled in Germany (unsolicited; AAA/Stable/A-1+), which is subject to the EU's Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD). We consider that public sector covered bonds have a very strong systemic importance to Germany. These factors increase the likelihood that DZ HYP (AA-/Negative/A-1+) would continue servicing its covered bonds without accessing the cover pool or receiving jurisdictional support, even following a bail-in of its senior unsecured obligations. Therefore, in accordance with our covered bonds criteria, we assess the reference rating level (RRL) at 'aa+'.

We consider the likelihood for the provision of jurisdictional support, which we assess as very strong for public sector covered bonds in Germany (see "Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria," published on March 3, 2020). As a result, the covered bonds are eligible for three notches of uplift from the RRL leading to a jurisdiction-supported rating level (JRL) of 'aaa'. Given the RRL of 'aa+', the covered bonds need one notch of jurisdictional support uplift to achieve a JRL of 'aaa' leaving a rating cushion of two unused notches of jurisdictional support.

Under our covered bonds criteria, to achieve a 'AAA' rating solely based on jurisdictional support, overcollateralization

must at least cover the credit risk that is commensurate with a 'AAA' level of stress. Our credit analysis is based on cover pool data as of Dec. 31, 2019. Our cash flow analysis is based on the asset and liability cash flow profiles as of Feb. 7, 2020. Based on our credit and cash flow analysis, the available overcollateralization of 20.94% exceeds the overcollateralization required to cover 'AAA' credit risk of 10.01%.

Furthermore, the covered bonds have sufficient credit enhancement to qualify for four notches of potential collateral-based uplift under our covered bonds criteria. However, because overcollateralization is uncommitted, we reduce this potential collateral-based uplift by one notch resulting in three available notches of collateral-based uplift. This, together with the two notches of unused jurisdictional support, results in a total rating cushion of five unused notches.

There are currently no rating constraints for the covered bonds related to legal, operational, counterparty, and sovereign risks.

Program Description

Table 1

Program Overview	
As of Feb. 7, 2020	
Jurisdiction	Germany
Covered bond type	Legislation-enabled
Outstanding covered bonds (bil. €)	13.54
Redemption profile	Hard bullet
Underlying assets	Predominantly local and regional governments, sovereigns, and municipal enterprises
Assigned jurisdictional support uplift	1
Unused notches for jurisdictional support	2
Target credit enhancement (%)	13.63
Credit enhancement commensurate with current rating (%)	10.01
Available credit enhancement (%)	20.94
Assigned collateral support uplift	0
Unused notches for collateral support	3
Total unused notches	5

DZ HYP is a majority owned subsidiary of DZ BANK AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (DZ BANK) and a core member of the German cooperative banking sector. DZ HYP was formed on July 27, 2018, following the merger of WL Bank and DG HYP, which combined a large share of the German cooperative banking sector's real estate competence into one legal entity. DZ HYP combines four major business lines: commercial real estate investors, retail clients, public sector clients, and housing sector clients. It is a major covered bond issuer in Germany, issuing public sector and mortgage covered bonds.

DZ HYP issues public sector covered bonds under Germany's covered bond legislation. The covered bonds are issued directly by the bank, and the cover pool assets are segregated from the issuer's remaining assets through entry in a

cover pool register. Investors in covered bonds have recourse to DZ HYP and to a portfolio of public sector cover assets assigned to the cover pool as collateral.


On Feb. 7, 2020, total outstanding covered bonds stood at €13.54 billion while cover assets amounted to €16.38 billion. The available credit enhancement was 20.94%. The cover pool comprises mostly exposures to local and regional governments (LRGs), sovereigns, and municipal enterprises.

Most of the cover pool assets are denominated in euros (95%) with the remainder being mainly Swiss franc and U.S. dollar with a nearly matching proportion of outstanding covered bonds. There are no derivatives registered in the cover pool, and we have modeled foreign exchange as well as interest rate risk in our cash flow model.

The cover pool relies on bank accounts provided by DZ Hyp and DZ BANK, and we considered the associated counterparty risk in our analysis. There are no derivatives registered in the cover pool.

We have not observed any material changes in the cover pool that would affect the ratings on the covered bonds since our last annual review (see "Transaction Update: DZ HYP AG (Public Sector Covered Bond Program)," published April 4, 2019).

Program Structure



Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

Table 2

Program Participants				
Role	Name	Rating	Rating dependency	
Issuer	DZ HYP AG	AA-/Negative/A-1+	Yes	
Account provider	DZ HYP AG	AA-/Negative/A-1+	No	
Account provider	DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank	AA-/Negative/A-1+	No	

Legal and regulatory risks

The German Covered Bond Act (Pfandbriefgesetz; "PfandBG") and the relevant secondary legislation provide the legal framework for the issuance of German covered bonds ("Pfandbriefe"). Under the framework, banks can issue public sector, mortgage, ship, and aircraft covered bonds.

We consider that the German covered bond legal framework satisfies the relevant legal aspects of our covered bonds criteria. This enables us to assign ratings to the covered bonds that exceed the long-term ICR on the issuer.

Covered bond investors have a preferential claim to a cover pool of assets, which for public sector covered bonds may comprise exposures to state, regional, and local public sector authorities of EU/European Economic Area countries, as well as exposures to public sector entities in Canada, the U.S., Japan, and Switzerland. Additionally, the cover pool can also comprise other, eligible substitute assets.

According to the legal framework, the issuer must maintain overcollateralization of at least 2% on a net present value basis for the outstanding covered bonds, and ensure 180 days of liquidity needs are covered by liquid assets at all times.

An independent trustee is responsible for monitoring the cover pool (cover pool monitor) until an independent cover pool administrator is appointed in case of the issuer's insolvency. BaFin, the German supervisory authority for financial institutions, appoints and supervises the cover pool monitor and cover pool administrator. BaFin also regularly conducts a covered bond audit.

We have also analyzed the risk that, if the issuing bank becomes insolvent, cash received from the cover pool assets could be commingled with the cash belonging to the bank, resulting in a loss to the cover pool. In our view, the German Covered Bond Act segregates cash received after the issuing bank's insolvency, but cash received shortly before insolvency and not reinvested in cover pool assets could be exposed to commingling risk. We address this risk in our counterparty risk analysis (see "Counterparty risk").

We base our analysis of legal risk on our criteria "Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology," published on March 29, 2017, as well as our "Covered Bond Ratings Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on June 30, 2015.

Operational and administrative risks

We review operational risk according to our covered bonds criteria. We have considered the procedures used by the issuing bank in the origination and monitoring of cover pool assets.

In our opinion, there are no operational risks from the cover pool's management and loan origination that would constrain the covered bond ratings to the same level as the long-term ICR. DZ HYP voluntarily maintains overcollateralization levels that exceed the legal minimum requirement, in order to support the current ratings on the covered bonds.

In our view, potential backup servicers would be available, if DZ HYP became unable to manage the program considering that Germany is an established covered bond market and that the cover pool does not comprise product features that would materially limit the range of available servicers.

Resolution regime analysis

As part of our covered bonds criteria, our analysis considers the resolution regime in place in Germany to determine the RRL. The RRL on DZ HYP, which is the starting point for any further ratings uplift in our analysis, is 'aa+'. We consider the following factors:

- DZ HYP is domiciled in Germany, which is subject to the EU's Bank Recovery And Resolution Directive (BRRD).
- Absent an assigned RCR for DZ HYP, we add two notches of uplift to the ICR because we assess the systemic

importance for German public sector covered bonds as very strong.

Jurisdictional support analysis

Under our covered bonds criteria, we conduct a jurisdictional support analysis to assess the likelihood that a covered bond program facing stress would receive support from a government-sponsored initiative. This is reflected in our JRL, which is our assessment of the creditworthiness of a covered bond program taking into account jurisdictional support, but before giving benefit to a covered bond program's ability to access other refinancing sources.

Our assessment of the expected jurisdictional support for German public sector covered bonds is very strong. Therefore, under our covered bonds criteria, the program can receive up to three notches of jurisdictional support uplift from the RRL. The covered bonds only make use of one notch to achieve a 'aaa' JRL, leaving two unused notches for jurisdictional support.

We also consider that the cover pool continues to comply with legal and regulatory minimum standards in Germany and that sovereign risk does not constrain the ratings on the covered bonds.

Collateral support analysis

Our analysis of the cover pool is based on our "Methodology And Assumptions For Assessing Portfolios Of International Public Sector And Other Debt Obligations Backing Covered Bonds And Structured Finance Securities," criteria, published on Dec. 9, 2014.

As of Dec. 31, 2019, the portfolio comprised exposures to LRGs (87%), sovereigns (9.9%), and non-LRGs (3.1%). Exposure to German borrowers accounted for about 79.4% of the cover pool, and about 82% of assets are rated 'A-' or higher. Most LRGs comprise German borrowers in the regions of North Rhine-Westphalia, Hesse, Baden-Wuerttemberg, and Lower Saxony.

Since our last review, the cover pool has reduced, but its composition and credit quality have remained stable. The share of German LRG exposures has slightly increased, and Italian sovereign and LRG exposures have declined (see table 4). This has contributed to an improvement in the credit analysis results, namely a reduction in the 'AAA' scenario default rate (SDR), a slight increase in the weighted-average recovery rate, and a reduction in the stressed refinancing spread (see table 3).

The top five obligors account for about 11.8% of the cover pool and the largest obligor represents about 2.9% of the cover pool. The available credit enhancement covers the result of our largest obligor and industry concentration test results (see table 3).

As of Dec. 31, 2019, we determined a 'AAA' SDR of 24.1%. In addition, based on our classification of the portfolio under our criteria for assessing public sector cover pools, we have determined a weighted-average recovery rate at a 'AAA' level of stress of 77.6% and a weighted-average time to recovery of 3.5 years (see table 3). Under our covered bonds criteria, LRGs attract a recovery rate of 75% or 90%--depending on their associated institutional framework score--and a time to recovery of four years (see table 6).

At the same time, based on our "Assessments For Target Asset Spreads According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria," published on March 3, 2020, we have determined a stressed refinancing spread of 229.2 basis points (bps).

Table 3

Key Credit Metrics		
	As of Dec. 31, 2019	As of Dec. 31, 2018
Weighted-average cover pool asset rating	A-	A-
Weighted-average loan asset maturity (years)	8.78	8.76
Largest obligor (% of the cover pool)	2.93	3.75
Five largest obligors (% of the cover pool)	11.75	12.55
20 largest obligors (% of cover pool)	27.20	28.18
Credit analysis results		
Scenario default rate (%)	24.09	25.88
Weighted-average recovery rate (%)	77.55	75.96
Weighted-average time to recovery (years)	3.48	3.37
Largest obligor test result (% of covered bonds)	5.30	7.00
Largest industry test result (% of covered bonds)	3.09	2.65
Stressed refinancing spread (bps)	229.20	254.10

Table 4

Asset Distribution By Geography		
	Percentage of cover pool	
	As of Dec. 31, 2019	As of Dec. 31, 2018
Germany	79.40	76.99
Spain	6.28	6.47
Italy	2.33	5.27
Portugal	2.93	2.61
Austria	2.70	2.50
Other	6.36	6.16
Total	100.00	100.00

Table 5

Asset Distribution By Rating		
	Percentage of cover pool	
	As of Dec. 31, 2019	As of Dec. 31, 2018
AAA	4.32	4.30
AA	34.86	31.61
A	42.80	41.88
BBB	14.08	19.35
BB	2.83	1.99
B or lower	1.11	0.87
Total	100.00	100.00

Table 6

Borrower type	'AAA' recovery rate (%)	Time to recovery (years)	Percentage of cover pool	
			As of Dec. 31, 2019	As of Dec. 31, 2018
Category A German LRGs	90	4	49.49	46.38
Category B German LRGs	75	4	25.98	26.01
Category A LRGs outside Germany	90	4	4.28	4.19
Category B LRGs outside Germany	75	4	7.27	7.72
German sovereign	37	0	1.23	1.44
Sovereigns and sovereign-related outside Germany	37	0	8.7	10.79
German municipal enterprises/religious entities/other	18	0	2.66	1.82
Financial institutions	18	0	0.39	1.65
Total			100.00	100.00

*According to our criteria for pools of public sector assets. LRG--Local and regional governments.

The results of our credit analysis, including the SDR, weighted-average recovery rate, weighted-average time to recovery, and refinancing costs, represent inputs to our cash flow analysis. Furthermore, in our cash flow analysis, we incorporate various interest rate and foreign exchange stresses, as well as various default patterns, which we run at different points over the weighted-average life (WAL) of the program. In addition, we have assumed a stressed servicing fee of 15 bps.

We also took into account the risk that cash collections received from the cover pool assets shortly before the issuer's insolvency, and not reinvested in cover pool assets, could be lost through commingling with the issuer's cash (see "Counterparty risk").

The aim of the cash flow analysis is to assess whether the stressed cash flows from the cover pool assets suffice, at the 'AAA' rating level, to make timely payments of interest and ultimate payment of principal on the covered bonds.

Our cash flow analysis determines the target credit enhancement needed to achieve the maximum potential collateral-based uplift, inclusive of 100% refinancing costs, and the credit enhancement required to cover 'AAA' credit risk assuming no asset-liability maturity mismatch. We determined the credit enhancement required to cover 'AAA' credit risk of 10.01% and a target credit enhancement of 13.63%. The reduction in 'AAA' credit risk and target enhancement reflects the improvement in the credit results and the asset and liability cash flow profiles as of Feb. 7, 2020.

With available overcollateralization of 20.94%, the covered bonds' maximum potential collateral-based uplift above the JRL is four notches. According to our covered bonds criteria, we reduce the potential collateral-based uplift by one notch if the covered bonds do not benefit from at least six months of liquidity, and by a further notch if overcollateralization is uncommitted. DZ HYP maintains 180 days of liquid assets at all times, as is required by the German covered bond legal framework. However, because overcollateralization is uncommitted, we have reduced the potential collateral-based uplift by one notch, resulting in a final collateral-based uplift of three notches.

The covered bonds do not use these notches of uplift as their 'AAA' rating is based on their JRL assessment. As a result, the program currently has five unused notches comprising three unused notches of collateral-based support and two unused notches of jurisdictional support.

Table 7

Collateral Uplift Metrics		
	As of Feb. 7, 2020	As of Dec. 31, 2018
Asset WAM (years)	8.86	8.87
Liability WAM (years)	8.63	8.63
Maturity gap (years)	0.23	0.24
Available credit enhancement (%)	20.94	21.36
Required credit enhancement for 'AAA' rating based on JRL (%)	10.01	12.13
Required credit enhancement for first notch of collateral uplift (credit risk at 'AAA') (%)	10.01	12.13
Required credit enhancement for second notch of collateral uplift (credit risk at 'AAA' and 50% of refinancing costs) (%)	11.82	14.02
Required credit enhancement for third notch collateral uplift (credit risk at 'AAA' and 75% of refinancing costs) (%)	12.73	14.97
Target credit enhancement (credit risk at 'AAA' and 100% of refinancing costs) (%)	13.63	15.91
Potential collateral-based uplift (notches)	4	4
Adjustment for liquidity (Y/N)	N	N
Adjustment for committed overcollateralization (Y/N)	Y	Y
Collateral support uplift (notches)	3	3

WAM--Weighted-average maturity. JRL--Jurisdiction-supported rating level.

Counterparty risk

We analyze counterparty risk by applying our counterparty criteria (see "Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on March 8, 2019).

DZ HYP's public sector covered bonds rely on bank accounts, which DZ HYP and DZ BANK provide. This potentially exposes investors to bank account and commingling risks.

Bank account risk. Accounts held at DZ BANK are swept intraday so that there is no overnight exposure. We therefore consider that bank account risk arising from exposures to DZ BANK is mitigated.

Commingling risk. Commingling risk in the covered bond program arises where cash collections received from the cover pool assets shortly before the issuer's insolvency are not reinvested in cover pool assets, and therefore could be lost through commingling with the bank's other cash.

In the absence of legal assurance or structural mitigants, we considered commingling risk when determining the required credit enhancement assuming that the highest one-day net cash inflow over the life of the covered bonds is lost, using information provided by the issuer. As of Feb. 10, 2020, the amount assumed lost is about €291.7 million, equal to 1.78% of total assets. The commingling risk is reflected in the 'AAA' credit risk and target credit enhancement figures of 10.01% and 13.63%, respectively.

There are no derivatives registered in the cover pool. We model any interest and foreign exchange risks in our cash flow model.

Sovereign risk

We analyze sovereign risk under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria (see "Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumption," published on Jan. 30, 2019).

The cover pool includes exposure to obligors in jurisdictions whose ratings are lower than the rating on the covered bonds. However, the covered bonds have sufficient available credit enhancement to cover our supplemental largest sovereign default stress test result of 6.70%.

Furthermore, under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria, the maximum differential above the sovereign rating of the issuer's domicile is two notches.

As a result of the above, and based on our unsolicited long-term rating on Germany, our structured finance sovereign risk criteria do not constrain our ratings on the covered bonds.

S&P Global Ratings acknowledges a high degree of uncertainty about the rate of spread and peak of the coronavirus outbreak. Some government authorities estimate the pandemic will peak around midyear, and we are using this assumption in assessing the economic and credit implications. In our view, the measures adopted to contain COVID-19 have pushed the global economy into recession (see our macroeconomic and credit updates here: www.spglobal.com/ratings). As the situation evolves, we will update our assumptions and estimates accordingly.

Related Criteria

- Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumption, Jan. 30, 2019
- Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Covered Bond Ratings Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, June 30, 2015
- Criteria - Structured Finance - Covered Bonds: Methodology And Assumptions For Assessing Portfolios Of International Public Sector And Other Debt Obligations Backing Covered Bonds And Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 9, 2014
- Covered Bonds Criteria, Dec. 9, 2014
- Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Global Credit Conditions Face Triple Threats Of Virus, Oil, And Volatility, Report Says, April 1, 2020
- Global Covered Bond Characteristics And Rating Summary Q1 2020, March 31, 2020
- Global Covered Bond Insights Q1 2020, March 31, 2020
- Assessments For Jurisdictional Support According To Our Covered Bonds Criteria, March 3, 2020

Transaction Update: DZ HYP AG (Public Sector Covered Bond Program)

- Assessments for Target Asset Spreads according To Our Covered Bonds Criteria, March 3, 2020
- Outlooks On Various German Banks Revised To Negative On Rising Banking Sector Risks; Ratings Affirmed, Sept. 18, 2019
- Covered Bond Monitor: Technical Note, Sept. 6, 2019
- Transaction Update: DZ HYP AG (Public Sector Covered Bond Program), April 4, 2019
- DZ HYP AG, Feb. 7, 2019
- Glossary Of Covered Bond Terms, April 27, 2018

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.